

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,595	08/25/2003	Richard Harvey	063170.6609	4259
5073 BAKER BOT	7590 08/12/200 FS.I.I.P	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS A		LEWIS, ALICIA M		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	EI ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/648,595	HARVEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alicia M. Lewis	2164		
	10/648,595 Examiner	10/648,595 HARVEY ET AL.  Examiner Art Unit	

	Alicia M. Lewis	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data where been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period red under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ie issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	otou diamio.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12     Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (lat. Other:	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: Applicant argues that Moran does not teach the matching and providing steps of independent claims 1 and 8; however the Examiner disagrees. According to spe 91 and 92 of Figure 9, paragraph 115, Moran teaches that in response to access an object, a user ID from authenticated credentials is matched against the object's ACL entries. He further teaches that an ACL entry for an object includes a type and identifier. Therefore, the user ID from the unthernticated credential may be considered a distinguished name associated with a user. The user ID is matched against an ACL entry for the object, which contains a type (i.e. user) and identifier of the type (i.e. ID of the user). Thus, it is clear that Moran teaches that a name associated with a user is matched with at 10 and 10 an

Moran further teaches, in step 93 of Figure 9, paragraph 115, that a user is granted the specific access permissions to the object when a match is found. Thus, it is clear that Moran teaches the matching and providing steps of claims 1 and 8.